

KYLE D. HAWKINS Solicitor General (512) 936-1700 kyle.hawkins@oag.texas.gov

February 22, 2019

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Scott S. Harris, Clerk Supreme Court of the United States One First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20543 sharris@supremecourt.gov

Re: Texas v. New Mexico, No. 65 Orig.

Motion to Extend Time to File Reply in Support of Motion

for Review

Dear Mr. Harris:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4, the State of Texas respectfully moves for an extension of the time for filing a reply in support of Texas's motion for review of the River Master's September 6, 2018 final determination in *Texas v. New Mexico*, No. 65 Orig.

The briefing to date has addressed the merits of this dispute; thus, consistent with Rule 25.3, a reply is currently due on March 17, 2019. An extension until April 26, 2019 is needed because of the press of business from numerous, complex matters with deadlines near the current deadline which require significant time and attention from the undersigned counsel for Texas and other counsel assisting with this matter:

- *Pennsylvania v. Trump*, Nos. 17-3752, 18-1253, 19-1129, 19-1189 (3d Cir.): Amicus brief due February 22, 2019.
- Harris Cty. Hosp. Dist. v. Public Utility Comm'n of Tex., No. 03-17-00811 (Tex. App.—Austin): Oral argument February 27, 2019.

Scott S. Harris February 22, 2019 Page 2

- Planned Parenthood of Greater Tex. v. Smith, No. 17-50282 (5th Cir.): Supplemental en banc brief due March 7, 2019.
- Brackeen v. Bernhardt, No. 18-11479 (5th Cir.): Oral argument March 13, 2019.
- Jackson Women's Health Org. v. Currier, No. 18-60868 (5th Cir.): Amicus brief due March 13, 2019.
- McMahon v. Fenves, No. 18-50710 (5th Cir.): Appellee's merits brief due March 14, 2019.
- North v. Davis, No. 18-10306 (5th Cir.): Appellee's merits brief due March 27, 2019.
- Texas v. United States, No. 19-10011 (5th Cir.): Appellee's merits brief expected to be due late April 2019.
- Planned Parenthood of Greater Tex. v. Smith, No. 17-50282 (5th Cir.): Oral argument before the en banc court scheduled for the week of May 13, 2019.

No prejudice would arise from the requested extension, as illustrated by the previous, unopposed two-month extension for New Mexico's response brief. New Mexico does not oppose this extension.

For the foregoing reasons, the State of Texas respectfully requests an extension of the deadline for filing a reply in support of the motion for review of the River Master's final determination, creating a new deadline of April 26, 2019.

Scott S. Harris February 22, 2019 Page 3

Sincerely,

KYLE D. HAWKINS

Ryle Handins

Solicitor General

Counsel of Record

Office of the Attorney General

P.O. Box 12548 (MC 059)

Austin, Texas 78711-2548

Tel.: (512) 936-1700

Fax: (512) 474-2697

kyle.hawkins@oag.texas.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Texas

cc: Dr. Neil S. Grigg (River Master for the Pecos River)
Amy I. Haas (Counsel of Record for the State of New Mexico)
Jeffrey J. Wechsler (Counsel for the State of New Mexico)